

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,247	06/07/2001	Pieter Jan Stappers	7238/0J393	2263
75	90 03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			ROSWELL, MICHAEL	
805 Third Aven New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2173	
·			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/879,247	09/879,247 STAPPERS, PIETER			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Roswell	2173			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress		
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may cation. bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status						
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 12 January 2006				
•	•	☐ This action is non-final.				
,						
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	cation.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attach	ied Office Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			`:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	· · ·	en received in this National	Stage		
* 6	application from the International	•	at vacalized			
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies h	ot received.			
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413) Io(s)/Mail Date			
3) Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTor No(s)/Mail Date	AND THE PARTY OF T	of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)		
				······································		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacCuish (SPIE, 1/29/1996, v. 2656, pp. 104-115), Tachibana et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,053), hereinafter Tachibana, and Osga (US Patent 5,757,358).

In regards to claims 1, 2, 5, and 6, Applicant has disclosed the layout mechanism of MacCuish as being an electronic database search engine with an electronic memory device suitable for storing and releasing elements from the database, a display unit, a user interface for the selection and control of elements on the display unit, and iconic interface control means where icons are at mutual distances from one another depending on degrees of dissimilarity.

MacCuish's method and device display some icons on the display unit at initial utilization.

MacCuish fails to teach the use of the control means to select a position on the display unit that upon selection displays or removes an icon related to a database element where its degree of dissimilarity to other icons corresponds with the distances between the icons.

MacCuish also fails to teach the mutual positioning of icons on the display in concurrence with the dissimilarity of the elements from the database in order to optimize the usable display area on the display unit.

Tachibana et al do teach the use of the control means to select a position on the display unit that upon selection displays or removes an icon related to a database element where its degree of dissimilarity to other icons corresponds with the distances between the icons.

Application/Control Number: 09/879,247

Art Unit: 2173

(Column 2, Lines 42-52) and the mutual positioning of icons on the display in concurrence with the dissimilarity of the elements from the database in order to optimize the usable display area on the display unit (Column 1, Lines 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of MacCuish and Tachibana et al to obtain an electronic database search engine with iconic display wherein correlated icons are separated at distances based on their dissimilarity and are spaced as to optimize the usable display area on the display unit.

One would be motivated to make such a combination for the advantage of easily viewing the correlations between objects based on their display position.

However, although MacCuish and Tachibana teach the display or removal of an icon related to a database element upon the selection of that icon's position, the references fail to explicitly teach the display or removal of an icon related to a database element upon the selection of an arbitrary position on the display.

Osga teaches a method and apparatus for the selection of computer-displayed objects similar to that of MacCuish and Tachibana. Furthermore, Osga teaches the selection and manipulation of an object on the display based on the selection of an arbitrary position by the user, based on the distance of a cursor to an object, as shown at col. 4, lines 40-53.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of MacCuish, Tachibana, and Osga before him at the time the invention was made to modify the iconic display of a database search engine of MacCuish and Tachibana to include the arbitrary position selection of Osga, in order to obtain an iconic display capable of selecting on screen elements through arbitrary position selection.

Application/Control Number: 09/879,247

Art Unit: 2173

One would be motivated to make such a combination for the advantage of reducing cursor travel distance to a selectable object, therefore optimizing the selection of an icon by a user. See Osga, col. 2, lines 43-53.

In regards to claims 3 and 7, Tachibana et al disclose a means for placing an icon in the center of the display unit, while the remaining displayed icons are grouped around the centered icon (Column 16, Lines 62-67 and Column 17, Lines 1-4).

In regards to claims 4 and 8, Tachibana et al teach the addition of characteristics involved in determining an element's degree of dissimilarity (Column 16, Lines 5-9) and adjustable assessment of the dissimilarities of elements (Column 17, Lines 42-46).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12 January 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Osga "has no bearing on the patentability of claims 1 and 5", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated in the rejection above:

However, although MacCuish and Tachibana teach the display or removal of an icon related to a database element upon the selection of that icon's position, the references fail to explicitly teach the display or removal of an icon related to a database element upon the selection of an arbitrary position on the display.

Osga teaches a method and apparatus for the selection of computer-displayed objects similar to that of MacCuish and Tachibana. Furthermore, Osga teaches the selection and

Application/Control Number: 09/879,247

Art Unit: 2173

manipulation of an object on the display based on the selection of an arbitrary position by the user, based on the distance of a cursor to an object, as shown at col. 4, lines 40-53.

As shown, the Examiner relies upon the Osga reference to teach the selection and manipulation of a displayed object through the arbitrary selection of a display position by the user, curing the deficiencies of MacCuish and Tachibana. MacCuish and Tachibana are relied upon to teach the distance of icons on the display unit corresponding with the degree of dissimilarity of the elements being represented.

Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument based upon the age of the references, contentions that the reference patents are old are not impressive absent a showing that the art tried and failed to solve the same problem notwithstanding its presumed knowledge of the references. See *In re Wright*, 569 F.2d 1124, 193 USPQ 332 (CCPA 1977).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2173

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Roswell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4055. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jaderaffe

Michael Roswell 3/27/2006